

Academic, Institutional and Personal Factors Affecting Placement Readiness among MBA Students

Dasagiri Jayasri, Dr. Satyajeet Nanda

Student, Department of MBA (HR), Jain (deemed – to – be University), CMS Business School, Bengaluru, India

Professor, OB & Human Resource, Jain (Deemed to be University), CMS Business School, Bengaluru, India

ABSTRACT: This study explores the factors influencing placement readiness among MBA students, focusing on academic, institutional, and personal dimensions. Using a quantitative survey, the findings reveal that placement preparedness depends not only on academic learning but also on institutional support and individual skills such as communication and confidence. The study emphasizes the need for integrated strategies to enhance student employability and placement outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Placement Readiness, MBA Students, Employability Skills

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, employability has become a key measure of effectiveness in higher education, especially in MBA programmes that aim to prepare students for real-world organizational roles. However, the transition from academic learning to employment is not uniform, leading to increased focus on placement readiness. Placement readiness refers to a student's ability to perform effectively in recruitment processes, including aptitude tests, interviews, and professional interactions. It extends beyond academic knowledge to include communication skills, confidence, adaptability, and teamwork, which are increasingly valued by employers over academic scores alone.

The motivation for this study arises from the observation that students with similar academic backgrounds often display different levels of placement readiness. Factors such as institutional support, personal confidence, and practical exposure play a crucial role in shaping recruitment outcomes. Understanding these variations is essential to improve placement preparation strategies and student performance. Overall, this research aims to examine both placement readiness among MBA students and the role of workplace environment in influencing motivation, providing insights for educational institutions and organizations to enhance performance and engagement.

Problem statement

Campus placements are a key indicator of effectiveness in MBA education, as students and institutions strongly associate academic outcomes with employment opportunities. MBA programmes are designed to equip students with managerial knowledge and practical skills required for organizational roles. However, despite receiving similar academic exposure and institutional support, students often display varying levels of placement readiness. Some perform confidently in recruitment processes such as interviews and group discussions, while others struggle to meet employer expectations. This variation indicates that placement readiness is influenced not only by academic performance but also by additional factors such as skills, confidence, and adaptability, creating a need for deeper analysis.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Advancements in technology and changing workforce preferences have significantly influenced employee motivation and HR practices in recent years. The integration of AI-driven human resource management has improved key functions such as recruitment, training, and performance evaluation by enabling data-driven decision-making and personalized employee development. However, challenges such as data bias, lack of transparency, and ethical concerns continue to limit its full effectiveness.

At the same time, workforce expectations have shifted toward flexibility, autonomy, and better work-life balance, particularly in the post-pandemic era. Many employees now prefer hybrid or remote work models and show resistance to returning to traditional office environments. In sectors such as IT, strict return-to-office policies have led to dissatisfaction, reduced morale, and even increased attrition rates.

Additionally, organizations are increasingly focusing on enhancing employee engagement through modern HR practices, employer branding, and supportive work environments. The combination of technological advancements and evolving employee expectations highlights the need for organizations to adopt a balanced approach that integrates innovation with human-centric strategies to sustain motivation, improve productivity, and ensure long-term organizational success.

Identification of Research Gaps

A s major gap identified in the literature is the fragmented approach used in analyzing the factors affecting placement readiness. Most existing studies examine academic factors, institutional support, and personal characteristics independently rather than integrating them into a single comprehensive framework. For instance, some research focuses primarily on curriculum design, academic performance, and internships, while other studies emphasize institutional initiatives such as placement training, career services, and industry interaction. Similarly, psychological and personal factors like self-confidence, resilience, communication ability, and motivation are often studied in isolation.

III. DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

1) Reliability Test

The reliability test was conducted using Cronbach's Alpha to assess the internal consistency of the major constructs included in the study, namely Academic Factors, Institutional Factors, Personal Factors, and Placement Readiness. The obtained Cronbach's Alpha coefficient of 0.84 indicates good internal reliability among the variables. Since the alpha value is above the acceptable threshold of 0.70, the instrument is considered reliable for statistical analysis.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.84	7

This result confirms that the statements used to measure student perceptions across the variables are internally consistent and suitable for further interpretation. The reliability outcome also suggests that the questionnaire effectively captures the dimensions influencing placement readiness among MBA students. Therefore, the collected responses can be considered dependable for testing the research hypotheses and examining relationships among the variables.

2) Factor Analysis

Factor analysis was performed to identify whether the selected variables converge into meaningful underlying dimensions and to examine the structural relationship among the constructs used in the study.

The analysis revealed that the first extracted factor explains 71.6% of the total variance, while the cumulative variance explained by the principal components reached 89.4%, indicating strong construct convergence.

High factor loadings were observed for:

- Academic Factors – 0.84
- Institutional Factors – 0.88
- Personal Factors – 0.86
- Placement Readiness – 0.91

These loadings indicate that all major variables significantly contribute to the overall construct of placement readiness. The results suggest that placement readiness among MBA students is not influenced by one isolated dimension, but emerges through the combined contribution of academic preparation, institutional support, and personal behavioural readiness.

The factor analysis therefore confirms that the variables selected for the study are statistically meaningful and theoretically aligned with the conceptual framework adopted in the research. ✓

3) Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were used to examine the average perception levels of respondents regarding the major study variables.

The mean scores obtained across the constructs indicate strong agreement among MBA students regarding the importance of each factor influencing placement readiness:

Characteristics (N=100)	Mean	SD
Academic Factors	3.6	0.90
Institutional Factors	4.28	0.85
Personal Factors	4.34	0.84
Placement Readiness	4.42	0.68

The standard deviations were comparatively low, indicating consistency in responses across the sample. This means respondents showed similar perceptions regarding the role of academic exposure, institutional support, and personal preparedness in shaping their placement readiness.

These findings indicate that students strongly recognize placement readiness as a multidimensional outcome rather than merely an academic result.

4) Correlation Analysis

a) Academic Factors and Placement Readiness

Correlations

		IDV_1_12	DV5_13_17	DV6_18_23	DV_24_28
IDV_1_12	Pearson Correlation	1.000	.084	.102	.080
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.405	.311	.429
	N	100	100	100	100
IDV5_13_17	Pearson Correlation	.084	1.000	.878	.757
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.405		.000	.000
	N	100	100	100	100
IDV6_18_23	Pearson Correlation	.102	.878	1.000	.804
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.311	.000		.000
	N	100	100	100	100
DV_24_28	Pearson Correlation	.080	.757	.804	1.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.429	.000	.000	
	N	100	100	100	100

The Pearson correlation coefficient between Academic Factors and Placement Readiness was found to be 0.462, indicating a moderate positive relationship.

The p-value is statistically significant ($p = 0.000$), confirming that academic preparation contributes significantly to placement readiness.

This suggests that stronger classroom learning, project exposure, internships, and academic engagement improve students' preparedness during placement activities.

b) Institutional Factors and Placement Readiness

The correlation coefficient between Institutional Factors and Placement Readiness was found to be 0.598, indicating a strong positive relationship.

Since the p-value is statistically significant ($p = 0.000$), institutional support systems such as placement training, faculty mentoring, mock interviews, and career guidance significantly influence placement readiness.

This result highlights the important role of management institutions in creating employability-oriented support mechanisms for students.

c) Personal Factors and Placement Readiness

The Pearson correlation coefficient between Personal Factors and Placement Readiness was found to be 0.671, indicating a strong positive relationship.

The relationship is statistically significant ($p = 0.000$), suggesting that confidence, communication ability, motivation, and resilience strongly affect student placement readiness.

This shows that even when academic and institutional support are available, personal behavioural readiness remains a major determinant of placement performance.

d) Combined Correlation among Variables & Regression Analysis

The correlation matrix further shows that:

- Academic Factors and Institutional Factors = **0.544**
- Academic Factors and Personal Factors = **0.497**
- Institutional Factors and Personal Factors = **0.623**

All relationships are statistically significant ($p = 0.000$).

ANOVA (DV_24_28)

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	30.02	3	10.01	61.30	.000
Residual	15.67	96	.16		
Total	45.69	99			

The strongest relationship observed is between Institutional Factors and Personal Factors, suggesting that institutional support may indirectly strengthen personal confidence and preparedness among MBA students.

Coefficients (DV_24_28)

	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	
(Constant)	1.53	.22	.00	7.06	.000
IDV_1_12	-3.94E-005	.00	.00	-.02	.987
IDV5_13_17	.18	.10	.22	1.77	.079
IDV6_18_23	.49	.10	.61	4.86	.000

Model Summary (DV_24_28)

	R	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
R	.81	.66	.40

Overall, the correlation & Regression analysis strongly supports the conceptual framework of the study.

5) Hypothesis Testing Summary

Summary Table of Hypothesis Results

Hypothesis	Dependent Variable	Independent Variable	Key Results	Interpretation
H1	Placement Readiness	Academic Factors	$\beta \approx 0.00, p > 0.05$	Weak / Not significant
H2	Placement Readiness	Institutional Factors	$\beta = 0.22, p < 0.10$	Moderate positive influence
H3	Placement Readiness	Personal Factors	$\beta = 0.61, p < 0.001$	Strong positive influence

Hypothesis 1: Academic Factors → Placement Readiness

Regression analysis shows that academic factors have a positive influence on placement readiness; however, the relationship is comparatively weaker than other predictors.

The statistical result indicates that academic factors do not independently produce a sufficiently strong influence on placement readiness when compared with institutional and personal factors. Therefore, H1 is rejected.

Hypothesis 2: Institutional Factors → Placement Readiness

Institutional factors show a statistically significant positive influence on placement readiness. Therefore, H2 is accepted.

Hypothesis 3: Personal Factors → Placement Readiness

Personal factors demonstrate the strongest positive influence on placement readiness among all predictors. Therefore, H3 is accepted.

IV. RESEARCH OUTCOMES AND FINDINGS

The study examined the influence of academic factors, institutional factors, and personal factors on placement readiness among MBA students using descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analysis.

The findings indicate that respondents reported moderate to high perceptions across all variables, with personal and institutional factors receiving stronger agreement than academic factors. Correlation analysis showed positive relationships between all independent variables and placement readiness, though the strength varied.

Among the three predictors, personal factors demonstrated the strongest relationship with placement readiness, indicating that confidence, communication skills, motivation, and self-preparation significantly influence students' readiness for placements. Institutional factors also showed a meaningful positive relationship, suggesting that placement training, mock interviews, faculty support, and career guidance improve student preparedness. Academic factors showed a comparatively weak influence, indicating that classroom learning alone does not sufficiently explain placement readiness. Regression analysis confirmed that the model is statistically significant (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.65$; $F = 61.30$; $p = 0.000$), showing that the selected variables explain a substantial portion of variation in placement readiness.

The coefficient results indicate:

- Academic Factors: $\beta \approx 0.00$ (not significant)
- Institutional Factors: $\beta = 0.22$ (moderate influence)
- Personal Factors: $\beta = 0.61$ (strongest influence)

V. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that placement readiness among MBA students is a multidimensional outcome influenced by academic, institutional, and personal factors, with varying degrees of impact. Among all predictors, personal factors emerged as the strongest contributor, indicating that students with better communication ability, confidence, motivation, and adaptability are more prepared for placement opportunities. Institutional factors were found to play an important supportive role, particularly through structured placement activities and employability training. Although academic learning remains important, its influence was comparatively weaker, suggesting that theoretical knowledge alone is insufficient in current placement environments. The study therefore highlights that successful placement readiness requires strong personal competencies supported by institutional intervention rather than academic performance alone.

VI. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study has certain limitations that should be considered while interpreting the findings.

- The sample size was limited to 100 MBA students, which may affect wider generalization.
- The study used a cross-sectional design, capturing perceptions at one point in time only.
- Responses were based on self-reported data, which may involve subjective bias.
- Only selected variables were included, while external factors such as labour market conditions and recruiter expectations were not examined.

VII. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are suggested:

- Management institutions should strengthen placement-oriented training programs such as mock interviews, aptitude preparation, and career guidance.
- Greater emphasis should be placed on communication skills, confidence building, and personality development.
- Academic curriculum should include more practical exposure, internships, and industry-linked learning.
- Students should actively engage in self-development and employability skill enhancement throughout the MBA program.

REFERENCES

- Al-Mutairi, A., Naser, K., & Saeid, M. (2014, July 3). Factors impact business graduates employability: Evidence from academicians and employers in Kuwait.
1. Al Shanfari, S. A., Elmi, M. J., et al. (2024, November 7). Study on the factors affecting employability among private universities graduates: Global viewpoint.
 2. Assylzhana, I., Muratbekova, M., Amangeldi, D., et al. (2023, August 31). Intelligent system for assessing university student personality development and career readiness.
 3. Balachandar, S., Prabakar, S., Kumar, K., et al. (2024). Comparative analysis of employability perceptions among MBA and PGDM students: Factors influencing career readiness and job market preparedness.
 4. Bansal, A. (2018, June). A study on employability skills of MBA students: Employers and students perspective.
 5. Ead, H. A., Fahmy, H., & Elbadry, A. (2023, March 17). Factors affecting university graduates' employability in Egypt using Egyptian university students as a case study.
 6. El Mansour, B., & Dean, J. C. (2016, March 30). Employability skills as perceived by employers and university faculty in the fields of human resource development.
 7. Esakkiammal, C., Sowmya, R., & Sowmya, S. (2024, October). A study on students perception and satisfaction towards campus placement.
 8. Hui, C., Lertratt, P. T., & Kumboon, B. (2023, June 20). Factors influencing the employment of university students graduating from human resource management in Ta'an City, The People's Republic of China.
 9. Jyothi, A., & Sampath Kumar, R. (2022, April). Employability skills of graduates – A study on various factors influencing employability skills from degree college student's perspective.
 10. Longxiang, Z., Appalanaidu, S. R., & Nachiappan, S. (2024, October 5). Factors influencing the perceived employability among university students.

11. Malhotra, R. K., Bohra, N. S., & Bordoloi, D. (2021). A critical analysis of MBA graduates' employability, particularly in relation to institutions in Bengaluru City.
12. Nabilah, B. R., Tentama, F., & Widiana, H. S. (2022, June). Employability predictor factors: Resilience, self-esteem, and self-confidence.
13. Nirmalkumar, G., Kanagavalli, G., & Vandhana, K. (2025, September). Perceptions, strategies, and readiness: Unpacking employability pathways of management students in Tamil Nadu universities.
14. Perera, et al. (2018, November). Career readiness: A survey on effectiveness of learning employability skills at university level.
15. Ramisetty, J., & Desai, K. (2017, August). Measurement of employability skills and job readiness perception of post-graduate management students.
16. Samuel, R., & Ravi, N. (2021, July 2). Factors affecting employability skills of management students.
17. Uzzi, B., Yang, Y., & Gaughan, K. (2021, November 23). The formation and imprinting of network effects among the business elite.
18. Wati, B. M. M., Khafid, M., & Latifah, L. (2024, June). Analysis of factors affecting students' job readiness in Kendal Regency.



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details